UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/795,863	03/08/2004	Karl Schoenafinger	DEAV2003/0021 US NP	6129
5487 ROSS J. OEHL	7590 07/16/2007 DEAV2003/0021 US NP 6129			
		MORRIS, PATRICIA L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIDGEWATI	ER, NJ 08807		1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPatent.E-Filing@sanofi-aventis.com andrea.ryan@sanofi-aventis.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/795,863	SCHOENAFINGER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Patricia L. Morris	1625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence address			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EXPIR	RE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the maje be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COM 36(a). In no event, howeve will apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	MUNICATION. r, may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 M</u>	lay 2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 19	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.					
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirem	ern.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U	l.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents hav	e been received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	·	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachme	nt(s)	_				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 N	otice of Informal Patent Application ther:			

repriention control ivan

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-5 are under consideration in this application.

Claims 6-14 remain held withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to nonelected subject matter 37 CFR 1.142(b).

Election/Restrictions

The requirement is still deemed sound and proper and is therefore made Final.

Again, this application has been examined to the extent readable on the elected compounds wherein A is N, B, D and E are CH, m is two and R1, R2 and X as set forth in claim 1, exclusively.

Rejoinder cannot be offered at this time because applicants have not presented an allowable product claim. Further, claims 6 and 7 require an additional active ingredient and therefore are broader then the products claims and are not even of the same scope as the products. Therefore, claims 6 and 7 will not be rejoined. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. In the instant case, the nonelected claims do not meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above

Application/Control Number: 10/795,863

Art Unit: 1625

policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In view of applicants' amendments to the claims, claims 1 and 2 are now substantial duplicates. Further, claims 2-4 fail to limit the subject matter of claim 1 because A can only be N, B,D,E can only be CH and n is 2 as recited in claim 1.

The claims measure the invention. United Carbon Co. V. Binney & Smith Co., 55 USPQ 381 at 384, col. 1, end of 1st paragraph, Supreme Court of the United States (1942).

The C.C.P.A. in 1978 held "that invention is the subject matter defined by the claims submitted by the applicant. We have consistently held that no applicant should have limitations of the specification read into a claim where no express statement of the limitation is included in the claim": In re Priest, 199 USPQ 11, at 15.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/795,863

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 2-4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and if rewritten directed solely to the elected compounds.

Claim 5 presented in independent form or made dependent on an allowable claim, would appear allowable, otherwise it is objected to as being dependent on a nonallowed claim.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Morris whose telephone number is (571) 272-0688. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 10/795,863

Art Unit: 1625

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patricia L. Morris Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

plm July 9, 2007